

Call to order at 8:04 am, Saturday September 6 of 2025

Bivens Decision read

Attendees: Dave, Dennis Bell, Cheryl, John, Gaye, Gina, Bob Rogers, Steven Hall, Nicole, Marianne

Jural Assembly Primer Discussion:

Cheryl and Gaye suggested changes to jural assembly primer

Bob thanked assembly for including Jury nullification in primer, very important

Motion to approve jural assembly primer with proposed changes as a working document moving forward, seconded by Bob, passed unanimously

Mock Trail Discussion:

Gaye suggested breach of contract, property issues - a Goldilocks and 3 bears scenario, offered to work it up for us, the assembly approved, thank you!

Nicole offered a second idea - a right to travel dispute, as we have not made progress there. The assembly approved, and Nicole offered to work it up - thank you!

The next mock trail is slated for Saturday September 20 at 7:30am.

Jural Assembly Discussion:

Gaye brought up that we are still under 1860s law in terms of only caucasian male landowners participating in jural assembly.

Cheryl said this has been taken care of, pulled up jural assembly handbook which said that each jural assembly needs to vote this old law out when forming. *She then read from the jural assembly handbook, "It is important to note that all people are part of the land and soil jurisdiction of their country, while persons are part of the sea and maritime jurisdiction. As a result, land and soil jurisdiction courts organized by Jural Assemblies are courts for people."*

John mentioned that "landowner" is not necessary, as we own our names and bodies - this is correct per Anna, so owning land is not a requirement.

Nicole mentioned that to the de facto, "white" is not merely a color but a class they recognize with special privilege

John added that this pertains to "persons" and not us

Steve added to caution us on this line of inquiry as de facto law is laden with words of art to be confusing

New Business:

Nicole mentioned the new silver bullet, and that per article 3 sect. 1 of the Constitution all agents must have sovereign delegation of authority - the premise on which the silver bullet is based. USC title 18 sect. 12 references impersonation by the criminals leads to a fine and/or 3 yrs in prison.

John asked if the silver bullet would replace the cicnol on our website, Dave said it may be good to keep both and use both as they are slightly different and speak to different issues. Dave will attend the Monday Lang Legs meeting to get clarity on this, and will work on a one-sheet for the process.

Dennis moved to adjourn, Nicole Seconded the motion and the meeting was adjourned at 8:43 am.